

Q1. Would you like to comment on the following transport documents: EB98, EB108 or EB109?

- Yes, Please select and go to Q2
- No, I would like to comment on another document. Select and press 'next page' at bottom of this page

Q2. Did you submit comments on transport to the previous Draft Plan Regulation 19 consultation (May 2021)?

- Yes
- No

Q3. Which site(s) or policies do your comments on transport relate to? (you can select more than one)

- PS24 Cam North West (W. of Draycott)
- PS25 Cam North East Extension (E. of River Cam)
-

Q4. Please provide your comments on **EB98 Traffic Forecasting Report Addendum** and explain how they relate and explain how they relate to the selected sites or policies

The forecasting report estimations are considered too low as the baseline numbers are not supported.

The roundabout at the bottom of Cam Pitch (High Street A4135) is already at capacity and is not mentioned throughout any documentation. It is essential that the full road network is assessed for capacity and mitigated as required without omitting major junctions.

Q6. Please provide your comments on **EB108 Sustainable Transport Strategy Addendum** and explain how they relate and explain how they relate to the selected sites or policies

CPC disputes the increase in housing from 700 - 900 as an unacceptable increase due to density, encroachment into possible open green spaces and reduction of car parking opportunities on site with relevance to the jubilee field.

Due to lack of Public transport provision, residents rely heavily on car usage. This transport strategy relies too heavily on the provision of the Cam/Uley Greenway of which parcels of land are missing making deliverability difficult. Using the main road structure is not ideal, creating and relying upon such a problematic idealism is not considered best practice

The pinch point (rail bridge on A4135) is not noted as being problematic throughout any documentation. The lack of safe pedestrian/cycle provision linking the A4135 to the A38 is a major issue when used as an asset to linking the communities either to services or employment.

Lack of trigger points within the supporting documentation could mean delays of relevant services not forthcoming as needed.

Q10. Would you like to comment on document EB110 Infrastructure Delivery Plan (IDP) 2022 Addendum, August 2022?

- Yes, Please select and go to Q11
- No, I would like to comment on another document. Select and press 'next page' at bottom of this page
- No, I would like to end this survey and submit my comments

Q11. Did you submit comments on infrastructure to the previous Draft Plan Regulation 19 consultation (May 2021)?

- Yes
- No

Q12. Which site(s) or policies do your comments on the IDP relate to? (you can select more than one)

- PS24 Cam North West (W. of Draycott)
- PS25 Cam North East Extension (E. of River Cam)
- Delivery Policy ES7 Landscape character
- Delivery Policy DES2 Green Infrastructure

Q13. Please provide your comments on the IDP and explain how they relates to the selected sites or policies

Cam North West PS24 and PS25

No mention of the A4135 Cam pitch roundabout being already over capacity and any opportunities to mitigate the problem with increased traffic. Outcome of feasibility study for the Greenway should be known prior to such support and reliance upon the delivery of the cycleway.

As recognised in PPG25 and PPS25, the need for flood catchments to be considered holistically with regard to cumulative impacts of multi-parcel developments adjacent to one another to be considered together, the impact upon the River Cam should be accessed using hydraulic modeling to ensure compliance with these policies. Jubilee field drainage, no methods of mitigation suggested to ensure adjoining new homes due not have negative impact upon existing problems at the sports ground.

CPC does support the need for a 2 form primary school but would push to say the a 3 form is preferred. The lack of preschool facilities within the parish has been a considerable factor for many working parents who live in the parish. The omission of any secondary school requirement to be delivered could be argued as short sighted when the existing secondary school is already full to capacity.

CPC supports the requirement for a new doctors surgery. Cam/Uley Practise (Orchard Medical Centre) has been expended to capacity and there would be extremely limited options to deliver a bigger practise at their current location. Difficulties in obtaining appointments and car parking restrictions for our growing community has been reported many times at the parish council offices.

Greenway Group response

We would expect that the Cam, Dursley & Uley Greenway would be positively effected by the house building plans, as described, in Cam. Our expectation is that this would further support our aims of the Greenway being built from funds derived from the developers. Specifically, a potential route from Rowley across Rackleaze to the route that is being built across the NE Cam Housing Development would be very beneficial as it would avoid the route having to go along the very narrow Chapel Street and busy High Street as currently planned. It would also mean the roundabout at the bottom of Cam Pitch could be avoided.

For the avoidance of doubt the project group does not endorse housing developments in Cam, we only seek to ensure that any such developments support the objectives of our project.